IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
AT JAIPUR BENCH, JAIPUR

ORDER

SB CIVIL WRIT PETITION NO.5690/1993
Laxman Singh
V/s
State of Rajasthan & ors.

Date of Order : : 10.10.2004

PRESENT
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.S. ASOPA

None for the petitioner.
Mr.B.L. Avasthi, Dy.GA, for the State.

By the instant writ petition, the petitioner has prayed for appointment on the post of Fisherman from the date on which the respondent No.3 had joined i.e. 7.10.1992. On earlier occasion, the case was called on 31.7.2006, but no one appeared. Thereafter, it was again called on 2.8.2006. On this date, the Government Advocate was given time to show that at point No.2 of roster which was in force at the relevant time, second number post is reserved for SC. From the contents of the writ petition, it is clear that petitioner has challenged appointment of respondent No.3 – Shiv Shanker Jatav (wrongly surnamed as Sharma in the cause title). The petitioner has claimed the said post from 7.10.1992 on the ground that the said post is not reserved for SC candidate.

The Government Advocate submits that there was two posts of Fisherman and on one post, the general candidate was working and on the another post, Shri Shiv Shanker Jatav – respondent was appointed as per roster.

Heard learned counsel for the respondents and perused the contents of the writ petition and further considered submission of Deputy Government Advocate.

There is nothing on record to show that point No.2 on which Shri Shiv Shanker Jatav was appointed, was not reserved for SC. The said issue was clarified in the reply, but no rejoinder was filed.

In view of above, I find no force in the writ petition and the same is dismissed.

(P.S. ASOPA) J.

ummed/-